|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Meeting/Project Name:** | ORD SPR Advisory Group Monthly Meeting | | |
| **Date of Meeting:** | Tuesday, March 26th, 2024 | **Time:** | 3:00-4:00 PM ET |
| **Meeting Facilitator:** | J. Constans | **Location:** | MS Teams |

|  |
| --- |
| MEETING OBJECTIVE |
| Provide the committee with updates and address next steps for future initiatives and projects |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ATTENDEES LIST *(check mark indicates attendance)* | | | |
| **Committee Members:** | ☒ Dr. Bob O'Brien  ☒ Dr. Joseph Constans | ☐ Dr. Miriam Smyth  ☐ Dr. Peter Hunt | ☐ Dr. Vetisha McClair |
| **Advisory Group:** | ☒ Dr. Steven Dobscha  ☒ Dr. Stephanie Gamble  ☐ Dr. Brian Marx  ☒ Dr. Bradley V. Watts  ☒ Dr. Edgar Villarreal | ☐ Dr. Stephen O’Connor  ☐ Dr. Susan Strickland  ☒ Dr. Melissa Mehalick  ☒ Dr. David Atkins | ☒ Dr. Wendy Tenhula  ☒ Dr. Lisa Brenner  ☐ Dr. Matthew Miller  ☐ Dr. Jodie Trafton |
| **Others:** | ☒ Maharsi Naidu  ☒ Carol Fowler |  |  |
|  | | | |
| **Support Staff:** | ☒ Imani Braxton-Allen (Titan Alpha) |  |  |

|  |
| --- |
| MEETING NOTES |
| **Agenda:**   * 3:00-3:05- Review AMP Development * 3:05-3:35- Results from SP Priority Survey * 3:35-3:45- Discussion Questions * 3:45-3:50- Next Steps   **Notes:**   * **Review of AMP Development**   + Several documents have been completed.     - Completed:       * Role charter       * Unit charter       * Portfolio performance metrics     - Completed by under ISRM consideration:       * Executive committee charter (received feedback on)       * Purview statement       * Portfolio analysis ppt       * Position description   + Currently in progress:     - Critical research priorities   + Items in the pipeline (May-June 2024):     - Program announcements     - Funding model SOP * **Qualitative Data**   + Input from various stakeholders     - **Priority Questionnaire Distribution to Field (November 17, 2023)**       * A survey was sent out to investigators within the SPRINT database. We collected responses from 54 respondents, each listing ranked research priorities. These priorities were coded into the categories developed in Phase 1.     - **Veteran’s Engagement Council Discussion (December 7, 2023)**       * Our team met with a Veteran’s Engagement Council in December to hold a discussion and gather research topics of interest to veterans.     - **VISN Suicide Prevention Lead – Open Discussion (January 11, 2024)**       * Understanding Research Priorities from the perspective of VISN Leads for Suicide Prevention Coordinators.     - **Discussion with VA Investigators (January 19, 2024)**       * Discussion of qualitative data obtained and solicitations of recommendations for quantitative survey.     - **Discussion with CMHO (March 25, 2024)**     - Discussion of survey data, differences in between respondent groups.   + Research Priorities discussed during the meeting ***(slides 9-12 discuss in further detail)***     - Veterans: Hard to Reach Veterans, Continuity of Care, Community Research, Developing Novel Treatments, Moral Injury/Spiritual, Lethal Means Safety, Messaging, Peer Support, Messaging, Data Studies, Racial/Ethnic/Sexual Minorities, Brain/TBI/Genomics     - VISN: Risk identification process, clinical trial feasibility, community interventions, education, training & messaging, firearms/lethal means safety, safety planning, older veterans, female veterans.     - CMHO: Risk identification process     - SPRINT Investigators: Survey content, recommended different instructions, broad categories, populations * **Survey Results**   + ***The survey can be viewed on slides 14-17 of the deck*.**   + Results:     1. SPRINT Investigators, 2/14-2/23; ***72 responses***     2. VEC Veterans, 2/21-3/4; ***11 responses***     3. CMHOs, 2/28-3/13; ***15 responses***  |  |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | |  | CMHO | VEC | SPRINT | Total (98 responses) | | Administrator | 73% | 18% | 10% | 20% | | Investigator | 47% | 9% | 92% | 76% | | Clinician | 7% | 0% | 36% | 28% | | Veteran | 0% | 82% | 1% | 10% |  * + Key Priority Areas: based on the survey results there were 5 areas that were deemed to be the key priority areas.     1. Lethal Means Safety approaches to suicide prevention     2. Community-based interventions for suicide prevention     3. Family and social network-based interventions and postventions     4. Psychotherapies and other non-somatic interventions for suicide prevention     5. Suicide risk screening, evaluation effectiveness, and process within VA; predictive analytics   + Domain rating and examples can be found on slides 19-20 * **Next steps/ Discussion**   + SME     - SME suggestions, can be found on slide 23 of the deck     - The plan is to select 5 of the suggested SMEs, 3 from the VA and 2 that are non VA. We will start at the top box of the column and work our way down if we do not receive a response and/or if we received a decline     - Potential SME Questions:       * Based on your knowledge of the state of the science, can you identify 1 to 3 research questions that would be especially important to answer and/or key next steps within the overall topic domain of XYZ?       * What type of research strategies would best help to answer these research questions? For example, do you believe that advancement would be best realized through clinical trial research, implementation science investigations, development of novel interventions, or improve understanding of the mechanisms through which this topic area is associated with suicide?       * In considering your response above, do you believe that organizations should prioritize funding smaller numbers of large trials to improve confidence in existing findings or more numerous smaller investigations that may lead to improved or novel strategies?       * What type of professional expertise is required for the study teams to pursue the research that you are recommending?   + Consensus Panel Discussion     - Collection and Analysis of SME responses (to be presented at April’s Advisory Group meeting)     - Looking for a potential date to hold voting. Currently considering Tuesday, May 21st |
|  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| **ACTION ITEMS** |  |
| Advisory Group members to determine if they can attend the Tuesday, May 21st voting session |  |